

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.4-1	Government Permits and Approvals for the Proposed Action.....	1-14
Table 1.7-1	Pollutants of Interest Discussed in the SDEIS	1-18
Table 3.2-1	Summary of the NorthMet Project Proposed Action and the NorthMet Project No Action Alternative.....	3-13
Table 3.2-2	Key Phases and Activities (Mine Site)	3-16
Table 3.2-3	Mine Site Equipment Fleet	3-38
Table 3.2-4	Key Characteristics of Proposed Mining.....	3-39
Table 3.2-5	Blasting Parameters	3-41
Table 3.2-6	Key Characteristics of the Ore Surge Pile	3-42
Table 3.2-7	Key Characteristics of Overburden and Waste Rock Management.....	3-43
Table 3.2-8	Waste Rock Categorization Properties	3-45
Table 3.2-9	Summary of the Stockpile Liners and Covers	3-51
Table 3.2-10	Surface Owners Along the Transportation and Utility Corridor.	3-79
Table 3.2-11	Design Processing Parameters.....	3-101
Table 3.2-12	Materials Consumed by the Beneficiation Plant Process	3-102
Table 3.2-13	Materials Consumed by the Hydrometallurgical Plant Process.....	3-112
Table 3.2-14	Plant Site Services.....	3-113
Table 3.2-15	Preliminary Cost Estimate for Closure	3-135
Table 3.2-16	Comparison of DEIS and SDEIS NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	3-143
Table 3.2-17	Previous NorthMet Project Alternatives Screened for the SDEIS.....	3-148
Table 3.2-18	MEPA Alternatives Types Considered for the NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	3-151
Table 3.3-1	Summary of the Land Exchange Proposed Action Alternatives	3-152
Table 3.3-2	Legal Description and Acreage of Parcels Included in the Land Exchange Proposed Action	3-153
Table 4.1-1	Resource Topic Areas Discussed in Chapter 4.....	4-1

Table 4.2.1-1	Land Use Controls Affecting the NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	4.2.1-1
Table 4.2.1-2	NorthMet Project Proposed Action Area of Concern Summary List for Voluntary Investigation and Cleanup Program.....	4.2.1-8
Table 4.2.1-3	Non-NorthMet Project Areas of Concern Status	4.2.1-11
Table 4.2.2-1	Normal Monthly and Annual Average Air Temperature and Precipitation near the NorthMet Project	4.2.2-1
Table 4.2.2-2	Impaired Waters within the Embarrass River and Partridge River Watersheds.....	4.2.2-9
Table 4.2.2-3	Wild Rice Survey and Water Quality Monitoring Results	4.2.2-19
Table 4.2.2-4	Summary of Total Mercury Concentrations in the Embarrass River Watershed near the Plant Site	4.2.2-23
Table 4.2.2-5	Bedrock and Surficial Aquifer Hydraulic Conductivity Estimates at the Mine Site.....	4.2.2-26
Table 4.2.2-6	Summary of Existing Groundwater Quality Monitoring Data for the NorthMet Mine Site	4.2.2-35
Table 4.2.2-7	Monthly Statistical Flow Data (cfs) for USGS Gaging Stations in the Partridge River Watershed.....	4.2.2-40
Table 4.2.2-8	Modeled Flow Statistics for Various Locations along the Upper Partridge River).....	4.2.2-43
Table 4.2.2-9	Comparison of MDNR Winter 2011 Baseflow Gagings with Modeled 30-day Low Flow.....	4.2.2-43
Table 4.2.2-10	Discharges to and Surface Water Withdrawals from the Partridge River Watershed.....	4.2.2-49
Table 4.2.2-11	Available Surface Water Quality Monitoring Data in the Partridge River Watershed (see Figure 4.2.2-1).....	4.2.2-51
Table 4.2.2-12	Comparison of Historic and Recent Mean Water Quality Data for Selected Parameters at Common Monitoring Stations along the Partridge River	4.2.2-52
Table 4.2.2-13	Baseline Water Quality from the South Branch of the Partridge River1	4.2.2-53
Table 4.2.2-14	Average Existing Water Quality Concentrations in the Partridge River.....	4.2.2-53

Table 4.2.2-15	Mean Water Quality Data for Longnose Creek, Wetlegs Creek, Wyman Creek, and West Pit Outlet Stream.....	4.2.2-55
Table 4.2.2-16	Comparison of Colby Lake Elevations Over Time.....	4.2.2-59
Table 4.2.2-17	Comparison of Whitewater Reservoir Elevations over Time.....	4.2.2-59
Table 4.2.2-18	Summary of Colby Lake Water Quality Data.....	4.2.2-61
Table 4.2.2-19	Summary of Whitewater Reservoir 2010 Water Quality Data.....	4.2.2-63
Table 4.2.2-20	Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring Data for Station SD026.....	4.2.2-67
Table 4.2.2-21	Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring Data for Station CR110.....	4.2.2-68
Table 4.2.2-22	Summary of Baseline Groundwater Quality Monitoring Data for the Tailings Basin Area and Two Larger Regional Areas.....	4.2.2-81
Table 4.2.2-23	Existing Pond Water and Groundwater Quality at the Tailings Basin.....	4.2.2-83
Table 4.2.2-24	Summary of Existing Groundwater Quality Monitoring Data Downgradient from the Existing LTVSMC Tailings Basin.....	4.2.2-85
Table 4.2.2-25	Existing Domestic Wells Located Between the NorthMet Project Proposed Action Tailings Area and the Embarrass River.....	4.2.2-88
Table 4.2.2-26	Monthly Statistical Flow Data for USGS Embarrass Gaging Stations.....	4.2.2-89
Table 4.2.2-27	Plant Site Surface Water Flows for Existing Conditions including Tailings Basin Seepage and Flowpath Discharge Based on Embarrass River Stream Gauging Results Applied to Contributing Watersheds.....	4.2.2-90
Table 4.2.2-28	NPDES/SDS Discharges to the Embarrass River Watershed.....	4.2.2-93
Table 4.2.2-29	Average Existing Water Quality in the Embarrass River, 2004-2012.....	4.2.2-94
Table 4.2.2-30	Available Surface Water Quality Monitoring Data in the Embarrass River Main Branch.....	4.2.2-95
Table 4.2.2-31	Comparison of Historic and Recent Mean Water Quality Data for Selected Parameters at PM-12 on the Embarrass River.....	4.2.2-96
Table 4.2.2-32	Summary of Existing LTVSMC Tailings Basin Surface Seeps.....	4.2.2-97

Table 4.2.2-33	Water Quality Monitoring Locations for Tailings Basin Surface Seepage and Receiving Streams	4.2.2-98
Table 4.2.2-34	Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring Data for the Tailings Basin Surface Seeps.....	4.2.2-99
Table 4.2.2-35	Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring Data for Tailings Basin Streams Tributary to the Embarrass River.....	4.2.2-100
Table 4.2.3-1	Wetland Classification System Descriptors.....	4.2.3-15
Table 4.2.3-2	Wetland Acreage by Wetland Community Type for Mine Site, Transportation and Utility Corridor, and Area 1	4.2.3-20
Table 4.2.3-3	Area 1 – Dominant Tree Species Associated with Forested Wetlands	4.2.3-22
Table 4.2.3-4	Key Landscape Factors Influencing Wetland Functional Scores in MnRAM 3.0.....	4.2.3-23
Table 4.2.3-5	Wetland Functions and Value Assessment for the Mine Site from 2004 and 2006.....	4.2.3-24
Table 4.2.3-6	Total Wetland Acreage by Wetland Type for Plant Site, Colby Lake Water Pipeline Corridor, and Area 2	4.2.3-31
Table 4.2.3-7	Area 2 – Dominant Tree Species Associated with Forested Wetlands	4.2.3-32
Table 4.2.4-1	NorthMet Mine Site Cover Types.....	4.2.4-2
Table 4.2.4-2	Invasive Non-native Plant Species Found on Mine Sites in the Mesabi Iron Range.....	4.2.4-7
Table 4.2.4-3	Invasive Non-native Plant Species Found Within 3 Miles of the Mine and Plant Sites by the USFS Road Weed Survey.....	4.2.4-7
Table 4.2.4-4	Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Plant Species Identified on the Mine Site 5	4.2.4-11
Table 4.2.4-5	USFS RFSS Plant Species within Superior National Forest	4.2.4-15
Table 4.2.4-6	NorthMet Transportation and Utility Corridor Cover Types.....	4.2.4-21
Table 4.2.4-7	NorthMet Plant Site Cover Types.....	4.2.4-25
Table 4.2.4-8	NorthMet Colby Lake Water Pipeline Corridor Cover Types.....	4.2.4-26

Table 4.2.5-1	Key Habitat, Cover Types, and Associated Species in the Nashwauk and Laurentian Uplands Subsections at the NorthMet Project Area	4.2.5-6
Table 4.2.6-1	Federal Land Parcel Surface Water Characteristics.....	4.2.6-5
Table 4.2.6-2	Major Channel Characteristics at Biological Survey Stream Sites in the Partridge River Watershed	4.2.6-6
Table 4.2.6-3	Average Existing Water Quality Concentrations in the Partridge River.....	4.2.6-7
Table 4.2.6-4	Fish Species Collected at Six Sites in the NorthMet Project Area	4.2.6-11
Table 4.2.6-5	Composition of Macroinvertebrate Assemblages at Five Sites in the Federal Parcel.....	4.2.6-12
Table 4.2.6-6	Mussel Species Identified in the Lake Superior Basin, St. Louis River Watershed, Partridge River, and Embarrass River	4.2.6-13
Table 4.2.6-7	Location and Physical Characteristics of Mussel Sample Sites.....	4.2.6-14
Table 4.2.6-8	SGCN and RFSS Species Identified Within Portions of the Laurentian Uplands – Nashwauk Uplands Ecoregion or Superior National Forest.....	4.2.6-17
Table 4.2.6-9	Fish Species Collected in Colby Lake and Whitewater Reservoir by MDNR Fisheries Surveys	4.2.6-21
Table 4.2.6-10	Major Channel Characteristics at a Biological and Habitat Survey Stations for Streams within the Vicinity of the Plant Site	4.2.6-22
Table 4.2.6-11	Fish Species Collected at Sampling Sites within the Vicinity of the Plant Site and Transportation and Utility Corridor.....	4.2.6-24
Table 4.2.6-12	Composition of Macroinvertebrate Assemblages at Three Sites in the Embarrass River Watershed.....	4.2.6-25
Table 4.2.7-1	Monitored Background Concentrations (2008–2010)	4.2.7-5
Table 4.2.8-1	Decibel Levels of Common Noise Sources	4.2.8-1
Table 4.2.8-2	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category	4.2.8-2
Table 4.2.8-3	Summary of Estimated Existing Ambient Noise Levels at the Closest Receptors to the NorthMet Project Area, including the BWCAW	4.2.8-7
Table 4.2.9-1	Cultural Resources Identified in the NorthMet Project Area.....	4.2.9-43

Table 4.2.9-2	Laurentian Uplands and Nashwauk Uplands Subsections.....	4.2.9-49
Table 4.2.9-3	Cover Types of Associated Species and Resources Regulated by the 1854 Treaty Authority at the NorthMet Project Area.....	4.2.9-51
Table 4.2.9-4	Plant Species Found in At Least Three ECS Vegetation Community Types.....	4.2.9-52
Table 4.2.9-5	Key Habitat, Cover Types, and Associated Species Regulated by the 1854 Treaty Authority at the NorthMet Project Area.....	4.2.9-53
Table 4.2.9-6	Fish Species Regulated by the 1854 Treaty Authority and Collected at the NorthMet Project Area.....	4.2.9-55
Table 4.2.10-1	Population of Study Area Communities 1980 to 2010.....	4.2.10-5
Table 4.2.10-2	Age Characteristics of Study Area Residents, 2010.....	4.2.10-6
Table 4.2.10-3	Racial Characteristics of Study Area Residents, 2010.....	4.2.10-7
Table 4.2.10-4	Educational Characteristics of Study Area Residents, 2010.....	4.2.10-8
Table 4.2.10-5	Income and Poverty Characteristics of Study Area Communities in 2010.....	4.2.10-9
Table 4.2.10-6	At-place Historical Employment by Major SIC Industry in 1980 and 1990.....	4.2.10-10
Table 4.2.10-7	At-place Employment by Major NAICS Industry in 2009.....	4.2.10-11
Table 4.2.10-8	Location Quotients for Major NAICS Industries in the Study Area, 2009.....	4.2.10-13
Table 4.2.10-9	Employment Status of Study Area Communities, 2009.....	4.2.10-14
Table 4.2.10-10	Employment in Study Area Communities by Occupation.....	4.2.10-15
Table 4.2.10-11	Employment in Study Area Communities by Industry.....	4.2.10-16
Table 4.2.10-12	Payroll (\$1,000s) by Major NAICS Industry, 2009.....	4.2.10-19
Table 4.2.10-13	Select Sales and Use Tax Statistics (\$1,000s).....	4.2.10-20
Table 4.2.10-14	Study Area Housing Unit Characteristics, 2010.....	4.2.10-20
Table 4.2.10-15	Water and Wastewater Capacity.....	4.2.10-22
Table 4.2.10-16	Public Safety.....	4.2.10-23
Table 4.2.10-17	Capacity and Enrollment of Public Schools.....	4.2.10-24

Table 4.2.14-1	Summary of Seepage and Stability Parameters for the Material at the Existing LTVSMC Tailings Basin	4.2.14-14
Table 4.2.14-2	Summary of Modeling Permeabilities for the Material Relevant to the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility	4.2.14-21
Table 4.2.14-3	Summary of Shear Strength Parameters for the Material Relevant to the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility	4.2.14-22
Table 4.3.1-1	Management Area Designations under the Land Exchange Proposed Action	4.3.1-2
Table 4.3.1-2	Management Area Designations under Land Exchange Alternative B	4.3.1-2
Table 4.3.2-1	Summary of Surface Water and Wild Rice Beds for Federal Lands	4.3.2-1
Table 4.3.2-2	Summary of Surface Water and Wild Rice Beds for all Land Exchange Proposed Action Tracts	4.3.2-3
Table 4.3.3-1	Wetland Acreage by Wetland Community Type for the Federal Lands within the Land Exchange Proposed Action and within the Land Exchange Alternative B	4.3.3-6
Table 4.3.3-2	Wetland Functions and Values Assessment for the Federal Lands Surrounding the Mine Site, 2008	4.3.3-8
Table 4.3.3-3	Total Wetland and Upland Acreage for the Non-federal Lands	4.3.3-19
Table 4.3.3-4	Total Wetland Acreage by Wetland Type for the Non-federal Lands	4.3.3-19
Table 4.3.3-5	Wetland Functional Value Assessment for the Non-federal Lands	4.3.3-21
Table 4.3.3-6	Total Wetland Acreage by Wetland Type for Tract 1	4.3.3-22
Table 4.3.3-7	Wetland Functional Value Assessment for Tract 1	4.3.3-23
Table 4.3.3-8	Total Wetland Acreage by Wetland Type for Tract 2	4.3.3-27
Table 4.3.3-9	Wetland Functional Value Assessment for Tract 2	4.3.3-29
Table 4.3.3-10	Total Wetland Acreage by Wetland Type for Tract 3	4.3.3-30
Table 4.3.3-11	Wetland Functional Value Assessment for Tract 3	4.3.3-34
Table 4.3.3-12	Total Wetland Acreage by Wetland Type for Tract 4	4.3.3-35
Table 4.3.3-13	Wetland Functional Value Assessment for Tract 4	4.3.3-36
Table 4.3.4-1	Federal Lands Cover Types	4.3.4-1

Table 4.3.4-2	Management Areas for the Federal Lands	4.3.4-3
Table 4.3.4-3	MIH Types and Age Classes (Acres) for the Federal and Non-federal Lands.....	4.3.4-4
Table 4.3.4-4	Landscape Ecosystem Types (Acres) on Federal and Non-federal Lands.....	4.3.4-5
Table 4.3.4-5	Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Plant Species Identified on the Federal Lands 5	4.3.4-6
Table 4.3.4-6	Land Exchange Alternative B Cover Types	4.3.4-8
Table 4.3.4-7	Management Areas for the Land Exchange Alternative B Lands	4.3.4-9
Table 4.3.4-8	MIH Types and Age Classes (Acres) for the Land Exchange Alternative B Lands	4.3.4-10
Table 4.3.4-9	Landscape Ecosystem Types (Acres) on the Land Exchange Alternative B Lands and Tract 1 Lands	4.3.4-10
Table 4.3.4-10	Non-federal Lands Cover Types.....	4.3.4-11
Table 4.3.4-11	Management Areas for Non-federal Lands.....	4.3.4-12
Table 4.3.4-12	Tract 1 – Hay Lake Lands Cover Types.....	4.3.4-14
Table 4.3.4-13	Tract 2 – Lake County North Cover Types	4.3.4-21
Table 4.3.4-14	Tract 2 – Lake County South Cover Types	4.3.4-23
Table 4.3.4-15	Tract 3 – Wolf Lands 1 Cover Types.....	4.3.4-26
Table 4.3.4-16	Tract 3 – Wolf Lands 2 Cover Types.....	4.3.4-28
Table 4.3.4-17	Tract 3 – Wolf Lands 3 Cover Types.....	4.3.4-33
Table 4.3.4-18	Tract 3 – Wolf Lands 4 Cover Types.....	4.3.4-35
Table 4.3.4-19	Tract 4 – Hunting Club Lands Cover Types.....	4.3.4-37
Table 4.3.4-20	Tract 5 – McFarland Lake Lands Cover Types	4.3.4-40
Table 4.3.4-21	Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Plant Species Identified on the Tract 5 Lands 4.....	4.3.4-45
Table 4.3.5-1	Key Habitat, Cover Types, and Associated Species for the Federal Lands within the Land Exchange Proposed Action and Land Exchange Alternative B.....	4.3.5-1

Table 4.3.5-2	Key Habitat and Cover Types of Species of Greatest Conservation Need and Regional Forester Sensitive Species for Tract 1 in the Nashwauk Ecological Subsection	4.3.5-3
Table 4.3.5-3	Key Habitat and Cover Types of Species of Greatest Conservation Need and Regional Forester Sensitive Species for Tract 2 in the Laurentian Uplands and North Shore Highlands Ecological Subsections	4.3.5-5
Table 4.3.5-4	Key Habitat and Cover Types of Species of Greatest Conservation Need and Regional Forester Sensitive Species for Tract 3 in the Laurentian Uplands Ecological Subsection	4.3.5-7
Table 4.3.5-5	Key Habitat and Cover Types of Species of Greatest Conservation Need and Regional Forester Sensitive Species for Tracts 4 and 5 in the Border Lakes Ecological Subsection	4.3.5-9
Table 4.3.6-1	Land Exchange Alternative B Surface Water Characteristics	4.3.6-2
Table 4.3.6-2	Tract 1 Surface Water Characteristics	4.3.6-5
Table 4.3.6-3	Fish Species Collected at the MPCA Sampling Sites in the Vicinity of the Hay Lake Lands Parcel	4.3.6-6
Table 4.3.6-4	Benthic Macroinvertebrate Attributes for Aquatic Biota Sampling Site MPCAB_05RN029	4.3.6-7
Table 4.3.6-5	SGCN and RFSS Species Identified Within Portions of the Nashwauk Uplands Ecoregion or Superior National Forest	4.3.6-8
Table 4.3.6-6	Fish Species Collected at Two Sites in the Vicinity of the Wolf Lands Parcels within the Stony River	4.3.6-15
Table 4.3.6-7	Benthic Macroinvertebrate Attributes for Aquatic Biota Sampling Sites within the Stony River	4.3.6-16
Table 4.3.6-8	SGCN and RFSS Species Identified Within Portions of the Laurentian Uplands Ecoregion or Superior National Forest	4.3.6-21
Table 4.3.6-9	SGCN Species for the Border Lakes Ecoregion and the USFS RFSS Species List	4.3.6-25
Table 4.3.8-1	Approximate Distances and Direction of Non-federal Lands to Federal Lands and the Plant Site	4.3.8-1
Table 4.3.8-2	Summary of Estimated Existing Ambient Noise Levels at the Non-federal Lands	4.3.8-5

Table 4.3.9-1	Cultural Resources Identified in the Land Exchange Proposed Action Area.....	4.3.9-3
Table 4.3.11-1	Recreational Opportunity Spectrum Designations within the Land Exchange Proposed Action and Land Exchange Alternative B.....	4.3.11-1
Table 4.3.11-2	Scenic Integrity Objective Designations within the Land Exchange Proposed Action and Land Exchange Alternative B	4.3.11-1
Table 4.3.11-3	Recreational Opportunity Spectrum Designations in the Vicinity of Non-federal Lands	4.3.11-2
Table 4.3.11-4	Scenic Integrity Objective Designations in the Vicinity of Non-federal Lands.....	4.3.11-3
Table 5.1-1	Resource Topic Areas Discussed in Chapter 5	5-1
Table 5.2.2-1	Beryllium, Manganese, and Thallium Evaluation Criteria	5.2.2-5
Table 5.2.2-2	Groundwater Evaluation Criteria Applicable to the NorthMet Project	5.2.2-6
Table 5.2.2-3	Applicable Use Classifications of the Primary Surface Waters in the NorthMet Project Area.....	5.2.2-9
Table 5.2.2-4	Surface Water Quality Evaluation Criteria Applicable to the NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	5.2.2-11
Table 5.2.2-5	Definition of Terminology	5.2.2-20
Table 5.2.2-6	Comparison of DEIS and SDEIS Modeling Approaches	5.2.2-20
Table 5.2.2-7	Mine Site Hydraulic Conductivities Based on MODFLOW Calibration.....	5.2.2-27
Table 5.2.2-8	Mine Site Surficial Groundwater Flowpaths used in GoldSim Based on Deterministic and P50 Inputs	5.2.2-31
Table 5.2.2-9	Plant Site Hydraulic Conductivity and Specific Yield Based on MODFLOW Calibration.....	5.2.2-35
Table 5.2.2-10	Plant Site Recharge Based on MODFLOW Calibration.....	5.2.2-35
Table 5.2.2-11	Plant Site Groundwater Flowpaths used in GoldSim Based on Deterministic and P50 Inputs.....	5.2.2-39
Table 5.2.2-12	Mine Site Surface Water Flows for Existing Conditions.....	5.2.2-40

Table 5.2.2-13	Plant Site Surface Water Flows for Existing Conditions including Tailings Basin Seepage and Flowpath Discharge Based on Embarrass River Stream Gauging Results Applied to Contributing Watersheds	5.2.2-40
Table 5.2.2-14	Comparison of Site-specific and Literature Sorption Values ¹ at the Mine Site	5.2.2-49
Table 5.2.2-15	Tailings Basin Facilities	5.2.2-57
Table 5.2.2-16	Tailings Basin Chemical Source Subareas used in GoldSim for Closure	5.2.2-57
Table 5.2.2-17	Groundwater Inflows and Outflows at the Mine Pits Based on MODFLOW Results	5.2.2-79
Table 5.2.2-18	Mine Site Chemical Source Areas used in GoldSim	5.2.2-85
Table 5.2.2-19	Summary of Waste Rock Properties	5.2.2-87
Table 5.2.2-20	Chemical Migration Times for Mine Site Groundwater Flowpaths	5.2.2-92
Table 5.2.2-21	Maximum 90th-Percentile Values for Predicted Solute Concentrations in Mine Site Groundwater at the Property Boundary over the 200-year Model Simulation Period	5.2.2-97
Table 5.2.2-22	Maximum Relative Concentration Change (Proposed Action/No Action, Maximum 90th Percentile values), all Groundwater Evaluation Points in the Mine Site Surficial Aquifer	5.2.2-98
Table 5.2.2-23	Total Watershed Area (acres) and Percent Watershed Area Reduction for the Partridge River Resulting from the NorthMet Project Proposed Action	5.2.2-103
Table 5.2.2-24	Modeled Percent Change in Selected Streamflow Parameters at Selected Locations in the Partridge River	5.2.2-104
Table 5.2.2-25	Liner Leakage/Pit Outflow Characteristics (Based on GoldSim Deterministic Run with P50 Inputs)	5.2.2-108
Table 5.2.2-26	Mine Site Process Water Flows to the Wastewater Treatment Facility	5.2.2-109
Table 5.2.2-27	Wastewater Treatment Facility Preliminary Water Quality Targets ...	5.2.2-111
Table 5.2.2-28	Culpability Analysis Using Copper and Sulfate at SW-004a	5.2.2-113
Table 5.2.2-29	Maximum Modeled Monthly P90 Surface Water Concentrations for the Mine Site	5.2.2-115

Table 5.2.2-30	Comparison of the P10, P50, and P90 Values for Proposed Action and No Action Modeled Concentrations at SW-004a for Selected Key Constituents, Year 12	5.2.2-118
Table 5.2.2-31	Comparison of the P10, P50, and P90 Values for Proposed Action and No Action Modeled Concentrations at SW-004a for Selected Key Constituents, Year 25	5.2.2-118
Table 5.2.2-32	Comparison of the P10, P50, and P90 Values for Proposed Action and No Action Modeled Concentrations at SW-004a for Selected Key Constituents, Year 200	5.2.2-119
Table 5.2.2-33	Maximum Modeled Monthly P90 Surface Water Concentrations for the Colby Lake	5.2.2-130
Table 5.2.2-34	Estimated Travel Times for Contaminant Plumes to Reach the Partridge River	5.2.2-139
Table 5.2.2-35	NorthMet Project Proposed Action Tailings Basin Seepage (gpm) During Operations.....	5.2.2-144
Table 5.2.2-36	NorthMet Project Proposed Action Tailings Basin Seepage during Closure	5.2.2-145
Table 5.2.2-37	Maximum Predicted P90 Concentrations over 500-year Model Simulation Period at All Groundwater Evaluation Points Along Modeled Flowpaths in the Plant Site Surficial Aquifer (NorthMet Project Proposed Action)	5.2.2-155
Table 5.2.2-38	Average Annual Flow Conditions in the Tributaries.....	5.2.2-163
Table 5.2.2-39	Determination of Combined Flow Requirement from the WWTP and Colby Lake.....	5.2.2-164
Table 5.2.2-40	Augmentation Flows and Sources to Tributaries for Various Time Periods.....	5.2.2-165
Table 5.2.2-41	Maximum Modeled Monthly P90 Surface Water Concentrations for Tributary Streams at the Plant Site Over the 500-Year Model Simulation Period.....	5.2.2-169
Table 5.2.2-42	Maximum Modeled Monthly P90 Surface Water Concentrations for the Embarrass River Over the 500-Year Model Simulation Period	5.2.2-171
Table 5.2.2-43	Comparison of the P10, P50, and P90 Values for NorthMet Project Proposed Action and No Action Modeled Concentrations at PM-13 for Selected Key Constituents, Year 12	5.2.2-172

Table 5.2.2-44	Comparison of the P10, P50, and P90 Values for NorthMet Project Proposed Action and No Action (Continuation of Existing Conditions) Modeled Concentrations at PM-13 for Selected Key Constituents, Year 24.....	5.2.2-172
Table 5.2.2-45	Comparison of the P10, P50, and P90 Values for NorthMet Project Proposed Action and No Action (Continuation of Existing Conditions) Modeled Concentrations at PM-13 for Selected Key Constituents, Year 200.....	5.2.2-173
Table 5.2.2-46	Comparison of Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent and Colby Lake Water Quality.....	5.2.2-174
Table 5.2.2-47	Maximum P90 Aluminum Concentrations (µg/L) for Embarrass River Tributaries and Embarrass River for Various Conditions.....	5.2.2-175
Table 5.2.2-48	Total Mercury Concentration Data from Natural Lakes and Mine Pits in Northeastern Minnesota.....	5.2.2-187
Table 5.2.2-49	Initial and Final Parameter Values for the Mercury Mass Balance	5.2.2-188
Table 5.2.2-50	Summary of Estimated Mercury Loading (Inputs)(1) and Losses (Outputs) for the West Pit Lake (Mine Year 20 to about Mine Year 40).....	5.2.2-189
Table 5.2.2-51	Estimated Mercury Concentration of the Combined Inflows to the Plant Site WWTP.....	5.2.2-191
Table 5.2.2-52	Overview of Monitoring Plans at Mine Site (from PolyMet 2012).....	5.2.2-201
Table 5.2.2-53	Overview of Monitoring Plans at Plant Site	5.2.2-204
Table 5.2.3-1	Total Projected Direct Wetland Effects at the Mine Site and the Transportation and Utility Corridor	5.2.3-7
Table 5.2.3-2	Type of Projected Direct Wetland Effects at the Mine Site and the Transportation and Utility Corridor	5.2.3-11
Table 5.2.3-3	Wetlands Crossing Analog Impact Zones Resulting from Changes in Hydrology.....	5.2.3-35
Table 5.2.3-4	Wetlands Within Analog Impact Zones Resulting from Changes in Hydrology	5.2.3-47
Table 5.2.3-5	Potential Wetland Community Changes Due to Drawdown	5.2.3-49
Table 5.2.3-6	Wetlands Abutting the Partridge River.....	5.2.3-50
Table 5.2.3-7	Wetlands within the Mine Site Groundwater Flowpaths.....	5.2.3-57

Table 5.2.3-8	Total Projected Direct Wetland Effects for the Plant Site	5.2.3-60
Table 5.2.3-9	Type of Projected Direct Wetland Effects at the Plant Site.....	5.2.3-65
Table 5.2.3-10	Wetlands within the Plant Site Flowpaths	5.2.3-71
Table 5.2.3-11	Determination of Combined Flow Requirement for the Watersheds from the Wastewater Treatment Plant and Colby Lake.....	5.2.3-72
Table 5.2.3-12	Wetlands Abutting Unnamed Creek, Trimble Creek, Mud Lake Creek, and Second Creek	5.2.3-73
Table 5.2.3-13	Wetland Areas Indirectly Affected by Changes in Water Quality	5.2.3-79
Table 5.2.3-14	Total Projected Direct Wetland Effects for the NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	5.2.3-80
Table 5.2.3-15	Type of Projected Direct Wetland Effects for the NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	5.2.3-80
Table 5.2.3-16	Summary of Projected Indirect Wetland Effects for the NorthMet Project Proposed Action	5.2.3-81
Table 5.2.3-17	Summary of Wetland Mitigation Ratios.....	5.2.3-84
Table 5.2.3-18	Summary of Proposed Wetland Mitigation for Direct Effects Utilizing USACE Credits.....	5.2.3-95
Table 5.2.3-19	Summary of Proposed Wetland Mitigation for Direct Effects Utilizing Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act Credits	5.2.3-96
Table 5.2.4-1	Direct Effects on Cover Types at the Mine Site	5.2.4-3
Table 5.2.4-2	Proposed Vegetation Types and Acreages for Reclaimed Stockpiles and Pits at the Mine Site.....	5.2.4-6
Table 5.2.4-3	Effects on Known State-listed ETSC Plant Populations in the Vicinity of the Mine Site, Including the Transportation and Utility Corridor.....	5.2.4-8
Table 5.2.4-4	Direct Effects on Cover Types at the Transportation and Utility Corridor.....	5.2.4-14
Table 5.2.4-5	Direct Effects on Cover Types at the Plant Site 1	5.2.4-16
Table 5.2.5-1	Vehicle Traffic within the Mine Site Only	5.2.5-3
Table 5.2.5-2	Vehicular and Train Traffic Volume along the Transportation and Utility Corridor	5.2.5-4
Table 5.2.5-3	Direct Effects on Key Habitat Types	5.2.5-9

Table 5.2.6-1	Partridge River Flow Modeling Results for Evaluation Location SW-004a	5.2.6-7
Table 5.2.6-2	Maximum Modeled Monthly P90 Surface Water Concentrations for the Partridge River within the Vicinity of the Mine Site	5.2.6-9
Table 5.2.6-3	Maximum Modeled Monthly P90 Surface Water Concentrations for Colby Lake	5.2.6-10
Table 5.2.6-4	Predicted Minimum Flow to the Embarrass River Tributaries	5.2.6-15
Table 5.2.6-5	NorthMet Project Proposed Action and No Action (Continuation of Existing Conditions) Maximum Monthly Modeled P90 Surface Water Concentrations for the Embarrass River Watershed within the Vicinity of the Plant Site	5.2.6-17
Table 5.2.7-1	Summary of NAAQS and MAAQS	5.2.7-2
Table 5.2.7-2	Summary of Allowable Prevention of Significant Deterioration Class I and Class II Increments	5.2.7-4
Table 5.2.7-3	NorthMet Project Setting Relative to Class I Regions	5.2.7-5
Table 5.2.7-4	Annual Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions for Prevention of Significant Deterioration-regulated Stationary Sources	5.2.7-9
Table 5.2.7-5	Annual Air Pollutant Emissions for Mobile Sources and Fugitive Sources	5.2.7-9
Table 5.2.7-6	Annual Hazardous Air Pollutant Emissions for Prevention of Significant Deterioration-regulated Stationary Sources	5.2.7-10
Table 5.2.7-7	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Prevention of Significant Deterioration-regulated Stationary Sources	5.2.7-10
Table 5.2.7-8	NorthMet Project Proposed Action Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5.2.7-11
Table 5.2.7-9	NorthMet Project Proposed Action Lifetime Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5.2.7-11
Table 5.2.7-10	Highest NorthMet Project Proposed Action Effects and Prevention of Significant Deterioration Class II Significant Impact Limits	5.2.7-15
Table 5.2.7-11	Results of Class II Prevention of Significant Deterioration Increment Analysis	5.2.7-16
Table 5.2.7-12	Results of Class II NAAQS Modeling	5.2.7-18

Table 5.2.7-13	Summary of Prevention of Significant Deterioration Class I Increment Analysis	5.2.7-25
Table 5.2.7-14	Class I Area Visibility Results for NorthMet Project Proposed Action (Method 2 Analysis).....	5.2.7-27
Table 5.2.7-15	Class I Area Visibility Results for NorthMet Project Proposed Action (Method 8 Analysis).....	5.2.7-28
Table 5.2.7-16	Terrestrial Effects of Annual Deposition of Sulfur and Nitrogen from the NorthMet Project Proposed Action in Class I Areas.....	5.2.7-29
Table 5.2.7-17	Aquatic Effects of Deposition of Sulfur and Nitrogen from the NorthMet Project Proposed Action in Class I National Park Areas	5.2.7-30
Table 5.2.7-18	Comparison of Projected Class I SO ₂ Concentrations to Green Line Screening Criteria for Vegetation Effects	5.2.7-31
Table 5.2.7-19	Chemicals for Evaluation of the Incremental Human Health Risk Assessment for the Mine Site	5.2.7-32
Table 5.2.7-20	Summary of the Incremental Human Health Risk Assessment for the Mine Site.....	5.2.7-34
Table 5.2.7-21	Chemicals for Evaluation of the Incremental Human Health Risk Assessment for the Plant Site.....	5.2.7-35
Table 5.2.7-22	Summary of the Incremental Human Health Risk Impacts for the Plant Site.....	5.2.7-36
Table 5.2.8-1	Applicable Noise Standards for Different Land Uses in Minnesota.....	5.2.8-3
Table 5.2.8-2	Maximum Sound Power Levels of Major Equipment and Trucks during Operations at the Mine Site and Plant Site.....	5.2.8-5
Table 5.2.8-3	Predicted Noise Levels at Nearest Receptors to Mining and Hauling Operations at Mine Site (includes Baseline Levels)	5.2.8-7
Table 5.2.8-4	Predicted Noise Levels at Nearest Receptors to Ore-crushing Operations at Plant Site (includes Baseline Levels)	5.2.8-8
Table 5.2.8-5	Limiting Distances for Ore and Waste Rock Blasts at Incremental Ground Vibration Levels	5.2.8-9
Table 5.2.8-6	Limiting Distances for Ore and Waste Rock Blasts at Incremental Airblast Overpressure Levels.....	5.2.8-17
Table 5.2.8-7	Total Noise Associated with Concurrent Operations at the Mine Site and Plant Site (includes Baseline Levels).....	5.2.8-19

Table 5.2.9-1	Acres of the Laurentian Uplands and Nashwauk Uplands Subsections Affected by the NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	5.2.9-7
Table 5.2.9-2	Affected Cover Types of Associated Species and Resources Regulated by the 1854 Treaty Authority at the NorthMet Project Area.....	5.2.9-8
Table 5.2.9-3	Direct Effects on Key Habitat Types	5.2.9-10
Table 5.2.10-1	Comparison of Assumed (IMPLAN) and Actual Commodity Prices.....	5.2.10-4
Table 5.2.10-2	Summary of IMPLAN Model Results	5.2.10-7
Table 5.2.10-3	Estimated Annual NorthMet Project Proposed Action Taxes Paid, 2011 Dollars (millions).....	5.2.10-11
Table 5.2.12-1	Wilderness and Other Special Designation Areas within 25 miles of the NorthMet Project Proposed Action	5.2.12-2
Table 5.2.13-1	Hazardous Materials used during Construction, Operation, and Closure Phases of the NorthMet Project Proposed Action	5.2.13-4
Table 5.2.13-2	Release Probability of Representative Materials Transported during Construction, Operation, and Closure Phases of the NorthMet Project Proposed Action.....	5.2.13-12
Table 5.2.13-3	Hazardous Material Management Plans	5.2.13-15
Table 5.2.14-1	Stability Modeling Results for the Tailings Basin.....	5.2.14-22
Table 5.2.14-2	Results of Liquefaction Triggering Analyses for Tailings Basin	5.2.14-23
Table 5.2.14-3	Modeled Factors of Safety for Worst-case Flow Liquefaction Conditions.....	5.2.14-23
Table 5.2.14-4	Modeled Factors of Safety for Fully Liquefied Long-term Conditions for the Tailings Basin	5.2.14-23
Table 5.2.14-5	Infinite Slope Stability Analysis Results for the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility	5.2.14-32
Table 5.3.1-1	Management Area Allocations under the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.1-2
Table 5.3.1-2	Superior National Forest Boundary, Acreage, and Fragmentation under the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.1-3
Table 5.3.1-3	Mineral Interests and Quality of Title for Non-Federal Lands.....	5.3.1-10

Table 5.3.1-4	Management Area Allocations under the Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.1-11
Table 5.3.1-5	Superior National Forest Boundary, Acreage, and Fragmentation for the Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.1-12
Table 5.3.2-1	Net Change in Surface Water and Wild Rice Beds to the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange Proposed Action Compared to the Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.2-1
Table 5.3.2-2	Net Change in Surface Water and Wild Rice Beds to the Federal Estate (Land Exchange Proposed Action)	5.3.2-3
Table 5.3.2-3	Net Change in Surface Water and Wild Rice Beds to the Federal Estate (Land Exchange Alternative B)	5.3.2-4
Table 5.3.3-1	Net Increase or Decrease of Wetland and Floodplain Acres from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.3-1
Table 5.3.3-2	Net Increase or Decrease of Wetland Resource Types from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.3-1
Table 5.3.3-3	Net Increase or Decrease of Frontage of Waterways from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.3-1
Table 5.3.3-4	Wetland and Floodplain Acres for the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.3-4
Table 5.3.3-5	Wetland Resource Types for the Land Exchange Proposed Action	5.3.3-5
Table 5.3.3-6	Frontage of Waterways for the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.3-6
Table 5.3.3-7	Wetland and Floodplain Acres for Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.3-7
Table 5.3.3-8	Wetland Resource Types for Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.3-8
Table 5.3.3-9	Frontage of Waterways for Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.3-9
Table 5.3.4-1	Vegetation and Cover Type Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate Due to Land Exchange.....	5.3.4-2
Table 5.3.4-2	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of MDNR GAP Land Cover Types under the Land Exchange Proposed Action	5.3.4-4
Table 5.3.4-3	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of Management Areas under the Land Exchange Proposed Action	5.3.4-6
Table 5.3.4-4	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of MIH Types and Age Classes under the Land Exchange Proposed Action	5.3.4-7

Table 5.3.4-5	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of MIH Types and Age Classes within Landscape Ecosystems in the Superior National Forest under the Land Exchange Proposed Action	5.3.4-8
Table 5.3.4-6	Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of State-listed ETSC Plant Populations under the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.4-9
Table 5.3.4-7	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of MDNR GAP Land Cover Types under Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.4-12
Table 5.3.4-8	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of Management Areas under Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.4-13
Table 5.3.4-9	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of MIH Types and Age Classes under Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.4-14
Table 5.3.4-10	Net Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of MIH Types and Age Classes within Landscape Ecosystems in the Superior National Forest under Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.4-15
Table 5.3.4-11	Increase or Decrease to the Federal Estate of State-listed ETSC Plant Populations under Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.4-16
Table 5.3.5-1	Increase or Decrease of Special Status Wildlife Species on the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.5-2
Table 5.3.5-2	Increase or Decrease of Key Habitat Types on the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.5-2
Table 5.3.5-3	Increase or Decrease in Suitable Habitat Types for Canada Lynx and Prey Species on the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.5-3
Table 5.3.5-4	Increase or Decrease of Lynx Travel Corridors on the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.5-4
Table 5.3.5-5	Increase or Decrease in Lynx Analysis Units on the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.5-5
Table 5.3.5-6	Increase or Decrease in Gray Wolf Habitat on the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange.....	5.3.5-6
Table 5.3.5-7	Increase or Decrease of Habitat Types for the Land Exchange Proposed Action on the Federal Estate	5.3.5-8
Table 5.3.5-8	Increase or Decrease of Habitat Types on the Federal Estate for the Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.5-12
Table 5.3.6-1	Federal and Non-federal Land Surface Water Comparisons	5.3.6-2

Table 5.3.6-2	Increase or Decrease of Stream Orders from the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.6-3
Table 5.3.6-3	Watershed Riparian Connectivity Index Comparison	5.3.6-4
Table 5.3.6-4	Watershed Aquatic Connectivity Index Comparison	5.3.6-5
Table 5.3.6-5	Increase or Decrease of Stream Fish Assemblage for the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.6-9
Table 5.3.6-6	Dominant Fish Species Present at Study Sites.....	5.3.6-10
Table 5.3.6-7	Increase or Decrease of Stream Fish Assemblage for the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.6-10
Table 5.3.6-8	Stream Macroinvertebrate Assemblage Comparisons for the Land Exchange Proposed Action.....	5.3.6-11
Table 5.3.6-9	Eco-region SGCN Species Comparisons for the Land Exchange	5.3.6-12
Table 5.3.6-10	Frontage of Waterways for Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.6-12
Table 5.3.6-11	Increase or Decrease of Stream Orders from Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.6-13
Table 5.3.6-12	Watershed Riparian Connectivity Index Comparison	5.3.6-13
Table 5.3.6-13	Watershed Aquatic Connectivity Index Comparison	5.3.6-14
Table 5.3.6-14	Increase or Decrease of Stream Fish Assemblage for Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.6-15
Table 5.3.6-15	Stream Macroinvertebrate Assemblage Comparisons for Land Exchange Alternative B	5.3.6-15
Table 5.3.6-16	Eco-region SGCN Species Comparisons for Land Exchange Alternative B.....	5.3.6-16
Table 5.3.10-1	Economic Value of Federal and Non-federal Lands (in 2012 dollars)	5.3.10-3
Table 5.3.11-1	Net Increase or Decrease of Recreation Opportunity Spectrum Classes.....	5.3.11-1
Table 5.3.11-2	Net Increase or Decrease of Scenic Integrity Objectives.....	5.3.11-1
Table 5.3.11-3	Recreation Opportunity Spectrum Classifications of Federal and Non-Federal Lands (Land Exchange Proposed Action)	5.3.11-9
Table 5.3.11-4	Scenic Integrity Objectives of Federal and Non-Federal Lands (Proposed Action).....	5.3.11-17

Table 5.3.11-5	Recreation Opportunity Spectrum Class of Federal and Non-federal Lands (Land Exchange Alternative B)	5.3.11-21
Table 5.3.11-6	Scenic Integrity Objectives of Federal and Non-federal Lands (Land Exchange Alternative B)	5.3.11-25
Table 6.2-1	Actions Considered and Affected Resources in the Cumulative Effects Assessment	6-7
Table 6.2-2	Existing Cumulative Effects on Partridge River Hydrology by Activity	6-22
Table 6.2-3	Existing Cumulative Effects on Embarrass River Hydrology by Activity	6-26
Table 6.2-4	Existing and Proposed Cumulative Sulfate Loadings to the Partridge River by Activity.....	6-29
Table 6.2-5	Cumulative Mercury Loadings to the Partridge River by Activity.....	6-30
Table 6.2-6	Cumulative Sulfate Loadings to the Embarrass River by Activity.....	6-31
Table 6.2-7	Cumulative Mercury Loadings to the Embarrass River by Activity	6-32
Table 6.2-8	Pre-settlement Wetland and Water Resources	6-35
Table 6.2-9	Existing Wetland and Water Resources by Watershed.....	6-36
Table 6.2-10	Wetland and Water Resources by Watershed under the NorthMet Project Proposed Action	6-37
Table 6.2-11	Future Wetland and Water Resources under the NorthMet Project No Action Alternative.....	6-38
Table 6.2-12	Changes in Habitat Acreage between 1890 and 1990 by Ecological Subsection.....	6-42
Table 6.2-13	Preferred Habitat for State-listed ETSC Plant Species and Most Likely Associated Habitat Types	6-43
Table 6.2-14	Potential Future Effects on ETSC Plant Species Populations Occurring from Reasonably Foreseeable Activities1	6-44
Table 6.2-15	Cumulative Effects on Wildlife Travel Corridors in the Mesabi Iron Range.....	6-53
Table 6.2-16	Results of Cumulative Class II NAAQS Modeling	6-63
Table 6.2-17	Results of Cumulative Class II PSD Increment Analysis.....	6-64
Table 6.2-18	Results of Cumulative Class I PSD PM10 Increment Analysis	6-65

Table 6.2-19	Maximum Potential SO ₂ , NO _x , and Particulate Emissions from the Proposed Projects in the Six-County Project Area CEAA in Comparison to Emission Reductions	6-71
Table 6.2-20	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	6-75
Table 6.2-21	Summary of Socioeconomic Cumulative Effects	6-86
Table 6.3-1	Increase/Decrease of Management Area Allocations Occurring from the Cumulative Actions.....	6-98
Table 6.3-2	Increase/Decrease of Superior National Forest Boundary, Acreage, and Fragmentation Occurring from the Cumulative Actions	6-99
Table 6.3-3	Increase/Decrease of Water Resources Occurring from Cumulative Actions	6-101
Table 6.3-4	Increase/Decrease of Wetland Resources Occurring from Cumulative Actions	6-103
Table 6.3-5	Potential Increase/Decrease of GAP Land Cover Types Occurring from Cumulative Actions.....	6-105
Table 6.3-6	Potential Increase/Decrease of Landscape Ecosystems Occurring from Cumulative Actions.....	6-106
Table 6.3-7	Potential Increase/Decrease of MBS Sites of Biodiversity Significance Occurring from Cumulative Actions	6-107
Table 6.3-8	Potential Increase/Decrease of Key Habitat Types Occurring from Cumulative Actions	6-109
Table 6.3-9	Potential Increase/Decrease in Suitable Habitat Types for Canada Lynx and Prey Species Occurring from Cumulative Actions.....	6-111
Table 6.3-10	Increase or Decrease of Lynx Travel Corridors on the Federal Estate Resulting from the Land Exchange.....	6-112
Table 6.3-11	Increase/Decrease of Surface Water Resources Occurring from Cumulative Actions	6-113
Table 6.3-12	Potential Increase/Decrease of Recreation Opportunity Spectrum Classifications Occurring from Cumulative Actions.....	6-117
Table 6.3-13	Potential Increase/Decrease of Scenic Integrity Objectives Classifications Occurring from Cumulative Actions.....	6-118
Table 7.2-1	Comparison of Environmental Consequences by Alternative	7-3